Comparing Remotely Sensed and Modelled Aerosol Optical Properties; a Case Study for Brisbane Australia.

-Introduction

Compared aerosol optical depth (AOD) and aerosol size distribution from 8 WRF-Chem aerosol (α) transport and gas-phase chemistry schemes to AERONET and MODIS - to determine which model configuration should be used in a local scale, low AOD, aerosol affect on rainfall study.



- AERONET AOD/ α 2

The Brisbane AERONET sunphotometer AOD/α were compared with MODIS closest 10 pixel averaged AOD/α - to justify a MODIS to model α comparison in the low aerosol load environment.







– WRF-Chem model AOD/ α for 8 schemes \sim (a) GOCART/RACM (b) GOCART/RACM+MEGAN LEGEND: WRF-Chem AOD









5 – Correlation Analysis

Scatter plots: comparing MODIS and 8 WRF-Chem configurations of aerosol size distributions (α /Ae).



Scatter plot - GOCART and MODIS Ae 0.4 0.8 0.2 0.6 MODIS As 550/860nm

Scatter plot - RADM2 (anthro. em.) and MODIS Ae MODIS As 550/860nm MODIS Ae 550/860nn



Scatter plot - GOCART-MEGAN and MODIS Ae

MODIS Ae 550/860nm

(f) MOSAIC/CBM-Z+MEGAN (g) (f) anthropogenic only (h) MOSAIC4 bin/CBM-Z





LEGEND: WRF-Chem α

0.095 - 0.1 01 - 0.2 1.61 - 1.63 1.64 - 1.66 1.67 - 1.7 401 - 0.5 1.71 - 1.75 - 0.6 1.76 - 1.8 - 0.7 1.81 - 1.9 01 - 0.8 1.91 - 2 01 - 0.9 2.01 - 2.4 2.41 - 3 - 1.2 3.01 - 3.4 1.21 - 1.4 3.41 - 3.9



(b) GOCART/RACM+MEGAN

0 25 50



(c) MADE-SORGAM/RADM2 (d) (c) anthropogenic only (e) MOSAIC 8 bin / CBM-Z

(a) GOCART/RACM





(f) MOSAIC/CBM-Z+MEGAN (g) (f) anthropogenic only (h) MOSAIC 4 bin/CBM-Z





| Instrument or WRF-Chem Scheme | At AERONET location | | MODIS v WRF-Chem image mean α correlation | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|------|--|------|-------|
| | AOD | α | ρ | Т | RMSE |
| ERONET | 0.045 | 0.82 | | | |
| /IODIS mean closest 10 pixels | 0.047 | 1.13 | | | |
| OCART/RACM | 0.005 | 1.63 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 1.206 |
| OCART/RACM-MEGAN | 0.005 | 1.63 | 0.43 | 0.16 | 1.544 |
| ADE-SORGAM/RADM2 | 0.04 | 1.71 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 1.506 |
| anthropogenic emissions only | 0.006 | 1.73 | 0.44 | 0.29 | 1.64 |
| /IOSAIC (8 bin)/CBM-Z | 0.027 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.1 | 0.183 |
| /IOSAIC (8 bin)/CBM-Z-MEGAN | 0.027 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.1 | 0.183 |
| anthropogenic emissions only | 0.005 | 0.92 | -0.3 | 0.07 | 1.079 |
| /IOSAIC (4 bin)/CBM-Z | 0.004 | 1.01 | 0.61 | 0.36 | 0.306 |

For the study date, time and location, the similarity of AERONET and MODIS AOD/ α values justifies an image-wide comparison of MODIS and WRF-Chem α . With lower root-meansquare-error (RMSE) values the image-wide MOSAIC-4 bin α is strongly correlated with MODIS α at ρ =0.61.



WRF-Chem under-predicts AOD and has less coarse mode α for the specific study due to natural aerosol source emission spatial resolution verses model domain size. MOSAIC and MADE-SORGAM schemes replicate some features of MODIS AOD/ α .

Conclusion

While MOSAIC/CBM-Z are known improved WRF-Chem aerosol transport and gas-phase chemistry schemes, it was found to be computational resource expensive, as well as being biased to coarse mode aerosol for the 8-bin configuration. MADE-SORGAM/RADM2 aerosol size distributions output was a more pragmatic option.





Climate Research Group

School of Geography, Planning and Environmental Management



Dr. Michael Hewson m.hewson@uq.edu.au www.gpem.uq.edu.au/cser-mhewson